448/08, dated 21st December 1932, to one cent as mentioned in Cochin Government Gazette, dated 14th Edavam 1108, Part I, page 1035, where the notification No. 363, dated 7th Edavam for acquisition is published;

(2) has not the said Thanda put in petitions objecting to the increase in extent;

(2) have any enquiries been made or orders passed; if so, what?

Mr. U. Kandir Menon.—(1) The extent in the Gazette notification dated 7th Edavam 1108, is the correct one, got after proper computation of the area by the Land Record Office as per the alignment fixed by the Public Health Department. The extent noted in the order is only approximate.

(2) Yes.

(3) No enquiries were necessary as the increase in extent was only due to correct computation of area.

Kurumbilavu river—taking new course.

346. Mr. C.A. Ouseph.—Is the Government aware that the advent of the new canal has aided the river to wash and eat away large portion of land in Kurumbilavu and that a new course for the river is gradually being shaped through lands owned by the Kalarikkal family in Kurumbilavu?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—Government have not constructed a new canal in Kurumbilavu. The old Chirakkal thodu was widened during the last two years. Government have no information regarding the encroachment of the river on lands owned by the Kalarikkal family.

Gold flag staff—newspaper article on—action regarding.

347. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have noted the article "ക്ഷേത്രം കച്ചവടം കൊടി മരം" in the Sahodaran of Mithunam 24, 1108;

(b) what their respective position is regarding the points raised therein;

(c) what action they have taken thereon;

(d) what more action they intend to take in the matter?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b), (c) and (d) Since the work is one relating to Devaswam, Government regret that they cannot answer the question.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—May I know Sir, why this question was admitted at all if it is a matter concerning the Devaswam Department?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Government cannot answer. It is the duty of the President.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—It was admitted because from the wording of the question, it was not possible to make out that it had any reference to the Devaswam Department.

Adverse circumstances of Inspector.

348. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Will the Government be pleased to state all the adverse circmstances that have happened in the official career of the Inspector in question No. 154?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—The Inspector was reduced by one grade while he was a II grade Head Constable in 1091. He was also reduced as a I grade Head Constable for a period of 3 months in 1104, while he was 4th grade Sub-Inspector. He had also warnings and black marks.

Action on resolutions of Methala Panchayat.

349. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—With reference to Appendix X to question No. 179 of 17th Vrischigam 1108, will the Government be pleased to state what final action has been taken regarding serial numbers 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28.

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—*Serial Nos. 5, 6, 8, 13, 17, 18, 22 and 23*—No further action was taken since there was no separate requisition from the Panchayat.

Serial No. 7.—The Panchayat was informed that the Government appointed a committee to go into the matter and they have passed the necessary orders on the committee's recommendations. Beyond this nothing is possible now.

Seriel No. 24.—No further action was taken since the matter has not yet been brought to the notice of the Government.

Serial No. 25.—The matter was referred to the Registrar of Co-operative Societies and the Panchayat informed of it. The Registrar has written to say that it is not possible to get Government loan through Co-operative Societies.

Serial No. 26.—Not yet brought to the notice of the Department.

Serial No. 27. The matter was referred to the Superintendent of Agriculture and the Panchayat informed of it. The Superintendent has written to say that the question has not been finally decided.

Serial No. 28.—Government were addressed. The resolution was recorded as the awarding of interest had to be left to the discretion of the courts.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Is not a resolution a requisition according to the Hon'ble Member for Panchayats?

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—Yes, it is so.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly